

On the contrary, we can prove that a soluble model such as Corngold's² is stable against small changes of $\Sigma_s(v)$ eventually due to experimental uncertainties in measuring the cross sections. The model used by Corngold,

$$v' \Sigma_s(v', v) = \beta v' \Sigma_s(v') v \Sigma_s(v) M(v) ,$$

leads to

$$\tilde{\eta}_\lambda = \beta M(v) \frac{v \Sigma_s(v) v_0 \Sigma_s(v_0)}{\lambda + v_0 \Sigma(v_0) + iBv_0 \mu_0} \frac{g(v, \lambda)}{1 - \beta b(\lambda)} + \delta(v - v_0) \frac{1}{\lambda + v \Sigma(v) + iBv \mu_0}$$

with

$$g(v, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2iBv} \ln \frac{\lambda + v \Sigma + iBv}{\lambda + v \Sigma - iBv}$$

$$\hat{b}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (v \Sigma_s)^2 M(v) g(v, \lambda) dv .$$

Let $\Sigma'_s(v)$ differ from $\Sigma_s(v)$ by an error $\epsilon(v)$ which is constant for simplicity: $\Sigma'_s(v) = \Sigma_s(v) + \epsilon$. Then

$$b'(\lambda) = b(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty dv (2v^2 M \Sigma_s \epsilon + v^2 \epsilon^2 M) g(v, \lambda) = b(\lambda) + \eta_\epsilon(\lambda) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \eta_\epsilon(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

and $\delta \tilde{\eta}_\lambda = \tilde{\eta}'_\lambda - \tilde{\eta}_\lambda$ tends to zero when ϵ tends to zero, uniformly in λ .

It would probably be interesting to find the largest class of functions for the sections for which the inverse Laplace transform would be a stable procedure against the small (experimental) errors; however, because we ignore the true scattering kernel in regard to its analytic formula and we have it given at most by a histogram, we do not believe that one can find a function among the elements of this class that could be "brought closer to reality."³ At most, this class will be a class of mathematical rather than physical models.

V. Protopopescu

Institute for Atomic Physics
Bucharest, Romania

December 23, 1970

²N. CORNGOLD, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **19**, 80 (1964).

³R. CONN and N. CORNGOLD, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **37**, 85 (1969).

Corrigendum

T. C. CHAWLA and B. M. HOGLUND, "Pressure Distribution for Axisymmetric Two-Dimensional Flow in a Plenum During Coolant Explosion," *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **43**, 87 (1971).

On p. 89, Eqs. (17a) and (17b) are to be replaced by the following single equation that is applicable for both cases, viz., $m \neq n$ and $m = n$:

$$P_{av}(0, t) = \rho g H + \rho \left[H + \frac{4}{b^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_1^2(\alpha_n b)}{\alpha_n^2 J_0^2(\alpha_n a)} \right] \frac{d}{dt} \left(U_0 \frac{b^2}{a^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \rho \left\{ \frac{8b^2}{a^4} U_0^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_1^2(\alpha_n b)}{\alpha_n^2 J_0^2(\alpha_n a)} \left[J_0^2(\alpha_n b) + J_1^2(\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{\alpha_n b} J_0(\alpha_n b) J_1(\alpha_n b) \right] \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \left\{ \frac{16b}{a^4} U_0^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{J_1(\alpha_m b) J_1(\alpha_n b)}{\alpha_m \alpha_n (\alpha_m - \alpha_n) J_0^2(\alpha_m a) J_0^2(\alpha_n a)} \right\} \times [J_1(\alpha_m b) J_0(\alpha_n b) - J_0(\alpha_m b) J_1(\alpha_n b)] + \frac{8b^2}{a^4} U_0^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_1^2(\alpha_n b)}{\alpha_n^2 J_0^2(\alpha_n a)} \left. \right\} + P_a . \quad (17)$$