# What Society Needs in 10 CFR Part 53

Rani Franovich, Senior Policy Advisor Nuclear Energy Innovation

August 26, 2022







#### The Breakthrough Institute

- Independent research center
- Identifies and promotes technological solutions to environmental and human development challenges
- Represents public interests
- Does not receive funding from industry





ADVANCING NUCLEAR ENERGY

Evaluating Deployment, Investment, and Impact in America's Clean Energy Future

#### Report Overview

- Technology-neutral study that chooses optimal technologies for least cost
  - Utilizes a high-resolution nationwide model of the United States (WIS:dom-P)
- Investigates the bounds of the potential role of advanced nuclear energy in a future U.S. clean energy system
- Evaluates the potential impact through
  - Deployment
  - Investment and opportunities
  - Barriers
  - Supporting policies
  - Economics and employment
  - Fossil to nuclear energy transition potential
- Contributes to literature on methods and best practices for modeling advanced nuclear energy



### Realizing a Technology-inclusive Rule

A technology-inclusive rule is defined in the Nuclear Energy Innovation and Modernization Act (NEIMA) of 2019 as a regulatory framework developed using methods of evaluation that are flexible and practicable for application to a variety of reactor technologies, including, where appropriate, the use of risk-informed and performance-based techniques and other tools and methods.



### Concerns and Opportunities

- Part 53 is necessary to improve the general public welfare by enabling both innovation and commercialization of advanced nuclear reactors.
- It remains unclear how either Framework A or Framework B conforms with NEIMA or meets the needs of Society.
- NRC is crafting rule language and frameworks that could constrain development of emerging technologies vital to climate change mitigation, energy security and other pressing concerns in Society.
- A 1000-page proposed rule package that industry will not use will not be responsive to NEIMA.
- Part 53 should establish high-level safety goals and allow greater flexibility for a wide range of diverse and emerging technologies.
- Frameworks A and B could represent acceptable methods and should be relocated to guidance documents, which offer both clarity and flexibility.



#### The Mandated Regulatory Approach





#### Typical Requirements Management Structure\*



\* Attribution: https://www.ans.org/file/980/RIPB+CoP+2-28-20+Presentation+Systems+Engineering.pdf, Slide 8



#### Part 53 Requirements Management Structure









#### Means Objectives Hierarchy





Oversight Decision

#### REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS











OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS



## Operational Programs

Each applicant must describe operational programs that emphasize and reinforce industry best practices, for example in the following areas:

- Quality Management
- Human Performance
- Safety Conscious Work Environment
- Problem Identification and Resolution
- Radiation Management As Low as Reasonably Achievable
- Operator Training and Qualification



#### Closing Thoughts

- A technology-inclusive, risk-informed and performance-based approach licensing pathway should minimize the need for exemptions from regulatory requirements.
- The preliminary rule can be simplified by
  - retaining high-level performance goals; and
  - relocating prescriptive, deterministic criteria for how those goals can be accomplished to guidance.
- For Part 53 to be successful, it must be durable as new and advanced reactor technologies are developed in the decades to come.