American Nuclear Society

safety categorization and design criteria for nonreactor nuclear facilities

an American National Standard



published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA

American National Standard Safety Categorization and Design Criteria for Nonreactor Nuclear Facilities

Secretariat American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-58.16

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Approved September 9, 2014 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., "shall," "should," and "may," respectively) should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA



This document is copyright protected.

Copyright © 2014 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines, should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-58.16-2014 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Inquiry Requests

The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Committee will provide responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., "shall," "should," and "may," respectively) in American National Standards that are developed and approved by ANS. Responses to inquiries will be provided according to the Policy Manual for the ANS Standards Committee. Nonrelevant inquiries or those concerning unrelated subjects will be returned with appropriate explanation. ANS does not develop case interpretations of requirements in a standard that are applicable to a specific design, operation, facility, or other unique situation only, and therefore is not intended for generic application.

Responses to inquiries on standards are published in ANS's magazine, *Nuclear News*, and are available publicly on the ANS Web site or by contacting the ANS standards administrator.

Inquiry requests shall include the following:

Inquiry Format

- (1) the name, company name if applicable, mailing address, and telephone number of the inquirer;
- (2) reference to the applicable standard edition, section, paragraph, figure, and/or table;
- (3) the purposes of the inquiry;
- (4) the inquiry stated in a clear, concise manner;
- (5) a proposed reply, if the inquirer is in a position to offer one.

Inquiries should be addressed to:

American Nuclear Society ATTN: Standards Administrator 555 N. Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, IL 60526 or standards@ans.org

Foreword

(This foreword is not a part of American National Standard "Safety Categorization and Design Criteria for Nonreactor Nuclear Facilities," ANSI/ANS-58.16-2014.)

Nonreactor nuclear facilities are designed, constructed, and operated using regulations, standards, and practices to assure that the workers in the facilities and members of the public outside the facilities are safe during the normal operations of the facilities and also in case of abnormal events or accidents. This is achieved through judicious categorization of structures, systems, and components (SSCs) and specific administrative controls (SACs) to prevent or mitigate such events. The categorization determines the types and levels of controls needed, based on the hazards at the facilities. This standard provides a roadmap to identifying existing industry codes and standards for reliable design, construction, and operation of various categories of controls, and also some new requirements that are not addressed in existing standards.

This standard provides criteria for the categorization of SSCs and SACs specifically for nonreactor nuclear facilities. Standards for design of categories of hazard controls are also identified. It has an overall objective similar to American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/American Nuclear Society (ANS) American National Standard ANSI/ANS-58.14-2011, "Safety and Pressure Integrity Classification Criteria for Light Water Reactors," in that both provide safety categorization criteria and design codes and standards for design. While the objectives of these two standards are the same (i.e., specification of appropriate design codes and standards for safety features), ANSI/ANS-58.16-2014 requires the determination of design-basis events (DBEs) as a first step, which is not required as part of the procedures invoked by ANSI/ANS-58.14-2011 for reasons discussed below.

Nonreactor nuclear facilities are often unique and of a one-of-a-kind design. Operators of these facilities can work very close to the hazards themselves. The hazards associated with nonreactor nuclear facilities are highly dependent on the nature of the facility and its mission. For example, those hazards can involve exposure to radioactive and other hazardous materials as a result of fires, explosions, process upsets, spills and leaks, as well as inadvertent nuclear criticality. A hazard analysis is required to identify the hazards and the type of events that can be associated with them. These analyses are used to develop DBEs and the needed safety functions to prevent or mitigate them to protect workers and the public.

Light water reactors are less diverse, and DBEs are based on experience with licensing safety reviews over a period of decades, focused on protection of the public. Facility workers are not in immediate contact with the hazardous materials. The very high energy associated with nuclear fission and the high level of fission products contained in a reactor core and their energy of decay lead to a focus on ensuring reactor core cooling, including pressure boundary integrity. A new hazard analysis is not usually needed because DBEs have been preselected.

As a result, readers, reviewers, and users of this standard should not expect that there would be a high degree of correlation between this standard and ANSI/ANS-58.14-2011.

This standard might reference documents and other standards that have been superseded or withdrawn at the time the standard is applied. A statement has been included in the references section that provides guidance on the use of references.

This standard does not incorporate the concepts of generating risk-informed insights or performance-based requirements. The user is advised that one or more of these techniques could enhance the application of this standard.

The ANS-58.16 Working Group acknowledges the significant contributions made to this standard by Dr. Richard W. Englehart and Dr. John D. Stevenson who passed away before the standard was issued. Both Dr. Englehart and Dr. Stevenson played key roles in all phases of the standard, starting with the formulation, through the development process, and preparation of the final draft. The ANS-58.16 Working Group of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society had the following membership at the time the standard was developed:

- P. K. Guha (Chair), U.S. Department of Energy
- R. G. Eble (Vice Chair), Savannah River Site, MOX Project
- R. Bunt, Southern Nuclear Operating Company
- C. Chaves, U.S. Department of Energy
- D. H. Cook, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- G. Couture, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC
- M. Dayani, Savannah River Site, MOX Project
- R. W. Englehart, Individual
- G. L. Jones, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection
- P. K. Niyogi, U.S. Department of Energy
- K. M. Ramsey, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- M. L. Ramsay, U. S. Department of Energy, Richland
- L. Restrepo, Nuclear Safety Associates
- S. K. Sen, U.S. Department of Energy
- J. D. Stevenson, Individual

The ANS-22 Subcommittee, System Design Criteria, had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

- R. M. Ruby, (Chair), Constellation Energy
- D. G. Newton, (Vice Chair), AREVA Inc.
- N. Brown. Individual
- P. K. Guha, U.S. Department of Energy
- E. M. Johnson, Individual
- L. E. Kreider, Engineering Planning & Management, Inc.
- M. A. Linn, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- P. P. Salkeld, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC

The Nuclear Facility Standards Committee (NFSC) had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

- C. A. Mazzola (Chair), CB & I Special Projects Group
- W. B. Reuland (Vice Chair), Individual
- J. K. August, CORE, Inc.
- W. H. Bell, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
- B. B. Bevard, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- J. R. Brault, Individual
- C. K. Brown, Southern Nuclear Operating Company
- K. R. Bryson, Individual
- C. E. Carpenter, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- D. R. Eggett, AMEC AES, Inc.
- P. K. Guha, U.S. Department of Energy
- P. S. Hastings, Babcock & Wilcox
- A. B. Hull, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- N. P. Kadambi, Individual

- M. A. Linn, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- E. M. Lloyd, Exitech Corporation
- E. P. Loewen, General Electric Company
- S. A. Lott, Los Alamos National Laboratory
- H. W. Massie, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
- R. H. McFetridge, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC
- T. K. Meneely, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC
- C. H. Moseley, $ASME\ NQA\ Liaison$
- D. G. Newton, AREVA Inc.
- M. W. Peres, Fluor Enterprises, Inc.
- R. M. Ruby, Individual
- J. C. Saldarini, Bechtel Power Corporation
- D. J. Spellman, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- S. L. Stamm, Individual
- J. D. Stevenson, Individual
- J. A. Wehrenberg, *Individual*

NFSC Liaison:

- G. Hutcherson, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
- J. Riley, Nuclear Energy Institute

Contents	Page
1	Introduction
	1.1. Scope
	1.2. Purpose
	1.3. Applicability
2	Acronyms; shall, should, and may; and definitions
	2.1. List of acronyms
	2.2. Shall, should, and may
	2.3. Definitions
3	General requirements
	3.1. Regulatory basis
	3.2. Methodology
	3.3. Hazard analysis
	3.4. Determination of DBEs
	3.5. Unmitigated consequence analysis
	3.6. Selection, categorization, and design of hazard controls
4	Safety categorization criteria
	4.1. Categorization criteria
5	Design criteria
	5.1. General design criteria.
	5.1.1 Single-failure criterion
	5.1.2 Environmental qualification 5.1.3 Support systems
	5.1.4 Interface design
	5.1.5 Impairment of safety functions.
	5.1.6 Criticality hazard control
	5.2. Civil-structural
	5.3. Mechanical
	5.3.1 Process system equipment
	5.3.2 Ventilation1
	5.3.3 Mechanical handling equipment1
	5.3.4 Fire safety equipment
	5.4. Electrical, instrumentation, and controls
	5.5. Quality assurance
	5.6. Specific administrative controls
6	References
A	ppendices
	Appendix A General Relationship Between ANSI/ANS-58.16-2014
	Safety Categorization and Current NRC-DOE Safety
	Categorizations for Other Than Reactor Facilities
	Appendix B Safety Categorization Process 22
	Appendix C Structures, Systems, and Components Boundary Criteria
	Appendix D References by Organizations and Bibliography
\mathbf{F}_{i}	igures
	Figure B.1 Safety categorization process flow diagram
	Figure C.1 Boundary criteria for SC-2 and SC-3 process systems

Figure C.2	Boundary criteria for SC-2 or SC-3 process lines penetrating confinement	33
Figure C.3	Boundary criteria SC-1, SC-2, and SC-3 instrument lines	34
Tables		
Table 1	General safety criteria for safety categorization of controls	7
Table 2	Codes and standards for SC-2 and SC-3 process equipment	10
Table 3	Codes and standards for SC-2 and SC-3 ventilation system	
	components	11
Table 4	Codes and standards for SC-2 and SC-3 mechanical handling	
	equipment	11
Table 5	Codes and standards for SC-2 and SC-3 safety categories	13
Table A.1	Typical correlation of ANSI/ANS-58.16-2014 safety categories	
	with existing DOE and NRC safety categorization of SSCs	20
Table A.2	Guidance of safety criteria for safety categorization of controls	20