


American Nuclear Society

**nuclear power plant simulators for use
in operator training and examination**

an American National Standard



**published by the
American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

**American National Standard
Nuclear Power Plant Simulators for Use
in Operator Training and Examination**

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the
**American Nuclear Society
Standards Committee
Working Group ANS-3.5**

Published by the
**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Approved September 4, 2009
by the
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Requests for interpretation should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus on the interpretation.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Copyright © 2009 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-3.5-2009 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of the American National Standard “Nuclear Power Plant Simulators for Use in Operator Training and Examination,” ANSI/ANS-3.5-2009.)

The nuclear power industry is currently in a mature phase of operation with encouraging successes in operating license extensions. In addition to life extension activity, there is renewed interest in new construction of nuclear power plants. This fifth revision of the 1979 original standard continues in the philosophy of further addressing issues related to maintaining simulators throughout the life of commercial nuclear power plants. This revision of the standard does not preclude applying the functional requirements and criteria of this standard to next-generation reactors.

The first ANS-3.5 standard, published in January 1979, provided essential requirements for the acquisition of full-scope simulators to support operator training programs. The second ANS-3.5 standard, published in April 1981, further delineated specification requirements. Improvements in testing methods and overall consistency were subsequently included in the third ANS-3.5 standard, published in October 1985. The fourth ANS-3.5 standard, published in March 1993, introduced a new testing methodology and processes that effectively integrated training processes with simulator testing and configuration management processes. The fifth ANS-3.5 standard, published in April 1998, further refined the integration of the training scenario validation process with the simulator testing process.

As the industry matures and performance improves, plants routinely remain online throughout a fuel cycle. This provides fewer operational experience opportunities previously afforded to licensed operators. Excellent plant performance has also resulted in a more vital role for the simulator in providing operators with experience previously obtained in the actual plant. As a result, the importance of a thoroughly tested simulator in providing a high quality training tool cannot be overemphasized.

As industry performance continues to improve, accredited operator training programs become a valuable component of a comprehensive simulator test program. This standard recognizes the current synergy between the traditional simulator testing processes and training processes that may be used to complement an overall simulator test program. This revision also recognizes recent changes in U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations that eliminated formal simulator certification submittal requirements and allows applicants for an operator license to meet experience eligibility requirements on the reference unit simulator. The advent of increased regulator and industry oversight group scrutiny of simulators also influences this revision. The working group provided formal input to the regulatory process to facilitate consistent perspectives.

In addition to enhanced readability accomplished by professional technical editors, users of this revision will find improvements and clarifications in the performance testing methodology, new sections addressing simulator core performance testing and post-event simulator testing, and other important guidance required to ensure accurate simulation for operator training.

When a simulator is used for operator training and examination, it is expected to meet the requirements set forth in this standard.

Acknowledgements:

This review and revision cycle was fortunate to receive substantial support from a diverse and dedicated group of nuclear simulator experienced professionals representing many utilities and interested parties. A wide range of utility, independent, and industry oversight organization participation, including individuals with

significant military and commercial reactor experience, was represented in this working group. Input to the development of the standard was received through various means, such as numerous surveys with >95% industry participation as well as feedback from training and simulator associations. Working group meetings were also attended and supported regularly by nonmember participants. All aspects of power reactor and simulator design, construction, and operation, in addition to extensive operator training and evaluation experience, were available throughout this review cycle. The average individual experience represented at each working group meeting was approximately 26 years of diverse nuclear industry experience, including 20 years of simulation related experience, and approximately 75 collective years of operator training experience. Working group continuity was preserved by members with a range of 2 to 24 years of working group participation experience. The significant experience available and dedicated participation were effectively used to prioritize and address each important issue.

This standard might reference documents and other standards that have been superseded or withdrawn at the time the standard is applied. A statement has been included in the references section that provides guidance on the use of references.

This standard does not incorporate the concepts of generating risk-informed insights or a graded approach to quality assurance. The user is advised that one or both of these techniques could enhance the application of this standard.

This standard was prepared by Working Group 3.5 of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society, which had the following membership:

T. Dennis (Chair), *individual*
J. B. Florence (Vice Chair), *Nebraska Public Power District, Cooper*
K. P. Welchel (Secretary), *Duke Energy, Oconee*
F. J. Colby (Editor), *L-3 Communications MAPPS, Inc.*
W. M. Shelly (Style Editor), *Entergy*
L. Vick (Parliamentarian), *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

S. K. Chang, *Dominion, Millstone*
K. J. Cox, *Exelon, Dresden*
R. A. Felker, *Western Services Corporation*
O. H. Havens, Jr., *PSEG Power, LLC, Salem/Hope Creek*
J. D. Koutouzis, *Institute of Nuclear Power Operations*
A. A. Kozak, *Dominion, North Anna*
G. S. McCullough, *American Electric Power, D. C. Cook*
J. B. Neis, *Constellation Energy, Ginna*
H. O. Paris, *GSE Systems, Inc.*
F. A. Tarselli, *PPL Corporation, Susquehanna, LLC*

Certain highly technically qualified individuals provided additional expert assistance and advice to the working group during the development of this standard. They were as follows:

T. R. Byron, *Institute of Nuclear Power Operations*
J. J. Cataudella (ANS-3.5 Past Chair), *Dominion, Millstone*
J. F. Collins, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
M. Fedele, *CAE, Inc., Aviation Simulation*
D. Noe, *GSE Systems, Inc.*
B. Panfil, *FirstEnergy, Perry*
D. C. Trimble, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
M. Wyatt, *Exelon*

Subcommittee ANS-21, Maintenance, Operations, Testing and Training, had the following membership during its review of this standard:

T. Dennis (Chair), *Individual*
C. H. Moseley, Jr. (Vice Chair), *BWXT/Y12*

N. W. Brown, *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory*
C. L. Eldridge, *Pacific Gas and Electric Company*
J. Glover, *Graftel, Inc.*
R. P. Kassawara, *Electric Power Research Institute*
J. D. Koutouzis, *Institute of Nuclear Power Operations*
L. E. Kreider, *Engineering Planning and Management, Inc.*
E. M. Lloyd, *Exitech Corporation*
C. A. Mazzola, *Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.*
P. A. Milligan, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
D. K. Ostrom, *Individual*
J. W. Roe, *Nuclear Energy Institute*
W. J. Rudolph II, *FirstEnergy Corporation*
J. D. Stevenson, *Individual*

The Nuclear Facility Standards Committee had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

C. A. Mazzola (Chair), *Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.*
R. M. Ruby (Vice Chair), *Constellation Energy Company*

J. A. August, *CORE, Inc.*
W. H. Bell, *South Carolina Electric & Gas Company*
J. R. Brault, *Shaw MOX Project*
C. K. Brown, *Southern Nuclear Operating Company*
K. R. Bryson, *Shaw Environmental, Inc.*
T. Dennis, *Individual*
C. E. Carpenter, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
D. R. Eggett, *Automated Engineering Services Corporation*
R. W. Englehart, *Individual*
P. K. Guha, *U.S. Department of Energy*
R. Hall, *Exelon Generation Company, LLC*
P. S. Hastings, *Duke Energy Company (NuStart Liaison)*
R. A. Hill, *ERIN Engineering and Research, Inc.*
N. P. Kadambi, *Individual*
E. M. Lloyd, *Exitech Corporation*
E. P. Loewen, *General Electric*
S. A. Lott, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
J. E. Love, *Bechtel Power Corporation*
R. H. McFetridge, *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*
T. K. Meneely, *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*
C. H. Moseley, *ASME NQA Liaison*
D. G. Newton, *AREVA NP*
W. N. Prillaman, *AREVA NP*
W. B. Reuland, *Individual*
D. J. Spellman, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory (NFSC Liaison to IEEE NPEC)*
S. L. Stamm, *Shaw Nuclear Services*
J. D. Stevenson, *Individual*
J. A. Werenberg, *Southern Company Services*
M. J. Wright, *Entergy Operations, Inc.*

NFSC Liaison:

G. Hutcherson, *Institute of Nuclear Power Operations*
S. Dua, *Canadian Standards Association*
J. C. Butler, *Nuclear Energy Institute*

Two nuclear industry training and simulator associations provided additional expert assistance and advice to the working group during the development of this standard. They were as follows:

Mid Atlantic Nuclear Training Group, Simulator Subcommittee
F. A. Tarselli (Chair), *PPL Corporation, Susquehanna*
Utility Simulator Users Group
M. McDade (Chair), *Progress Energy, Harris*

Contents	Section	Page
1	Scope and background	1
1.1	Scope	1
1.2	Background	1
2	Definitions	1
3	General requirements	3
3.1	Simulator capabilities	3
3.1.1	Real time and repeatability	3
3.1.2	Limits of simulation	3
3.1.3	Steady-state and normal evolutions	3
3.1.3.1	Steady-state operation	3
3.1.3.2	Normal evolutions	3
3.1.4	Malfunctions	3
3.2	Scope of simulation	5
3.2.1	Physical fidelity and human factors	5
3.2.1.1	Scope of panel simulation	5
3.2.1.2	Instrumentation, controls, markings, and operator aids	5
3.2.1.3	Control room environment	5
3.2.1.4	Simulator control room deviations	5
3.2.2	Systems to be simulated and the degree of completeness	5
3.2.2.1	Systems controlled or monitored from the control room	5
3.2.2.2	Systems controlled or monitored external to the control room	5
3.3	Simulator instructor station capabilities	6
3.3.1	Initial conditions	6
3.3.2	Malfunctions	6
3.3.3	Other features	6
3.3.4	Local operator actions	6
3.3.5	Data collection	6
3.4	Simulator testing	6
3.4.1	Simulator verification testing	6
3.4.2	Simulator validation testing	6
3.4.3	Simulator performance testing	7
3.4.3.1	Simulator operability testing	7
3.4.3.2	Simulator scenario-based testing	7
3.4.3.3	Simulator reactor core performance testing	7
3.4.3.4	Post-event simulator testing	7
4	Testing requirements	7
4.1	Simulator capabilities criteria	7
4.1.1	Real time and repeatability	7
4.1.2	Limits of simulation	7
4.1.3	Steady-state and normal evolutions	7
4.1.3.1	Steady-state operation	7
4.1.3.2	Normal evolutions	8
4.1.4	Malfunctions	9
4.2	Scope of simulation	9
4.2.1	Physical fidelity and human factors	9
4.2.1.1	Scope of panel simulation	9

4.2.1.2	Instrumentation, controls, markings, and operator aids	9
4.2.1.3	Control room environment	9
4.2.1.4	Assessment of deviations	9
4.2.2	Systems to be simulated and the degree of completeness	10
4.2.2.1	Systems controlled or monitored from the control room	10
4.2.2.2	Systems controlled or monitored external to the control room	10
4.3	Simulator instructor station capabilities	10
4.3.1	Initial conditions	10
4.3.2	Malfunctions	10
4.3.3	Other features	10
4.3.4	Local operator actions	10
4.3.5	Data collection	10
4.4	Simulator testing	10
4.4.1	Simulator verification testing	10
4.4.2	Simulator validation testing	11
4.4.3	Simulator performance testing	11
4.4.3.1	Simulator operability testing	11
4.4.3.2	Simulator scenario-based testing	11
4.4.3.3	Simulator reactor core performance testing	12
4.4.3.4	Post-event simulator testing	12
5	Simulator configuration management	12
5.1	Simulator design baseline	12
5.1.1	Utilization of baseline data	13
5.1.2	Simulator design database update	13
5.1.2.1	Initial update	13
5.1.2.2	Subsequent update	13
5.2	Revision to the scope of simulation	13
5.3	Incorporation of simulator changes	13
5.3.1	Modification-based simulator changes	13
5.3.1.1	Initial upgrade	13
5.3.1.2	Subsequent upgrade	13
5.3.2	Performance-based simulator changes	13
5.4	Control of software and hardware configuration	14
6	References	14
Appendices		
Appendix A	Guideline for Documentation of Simulator Design and Test Performances	15
Appendix B	Guidelines for the Conduct of Simulator Operability Testing	17
Appendix C	Examples for Application of the Simulator Steady-State Tolerance Allowances	22
Appendix D	Guidance on Part-Task and Limited-Scope Simulator Features and Fidelity	23