American Nuclear Society

WITHDRAWN

January 30, 2005 ANSI/ANS-3.8.7-1998 criteria for planning, development, conduct, and evaluation of drills and exercises for emergency preparedness

an American National Standard

No longer being maintained as an American National Standard. This standard may contain outdated material or may have been superseded by another standard. Please contact the ANS Standards Administrator for details.



published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA American National Standard Criteria for Planning, Development, Conduct, and Evaluation of Drills and Exercises for Emergency Preparedness

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-3.8

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA

Approved January 30, 1998 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Requests for interpretation should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus on the interpretation.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA

Copyright © 1998 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-3.8.7-1998 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard Criteria for Planning, Development, Conduct, and Evaluation of Drills and Exercises for Emergency Preparedness, ANSI/ANS-3.8.7-1998.)

Every nuclear power plant owner organization is required by federal regulations to have a detailed radiological emergency response plan. Plant operators are required to perform routine, abnormal, and emergency actions in a manner to reduce to the extent feasible the likelihood of any particular event developing into an emergency condition. An objective of sound operations is to prevent emergency conditions. The objective of an emergency response program is emergency mitigation. Plant operators are the key to emergency prevention and mitigation.

If a situation arises which activates the radiological emergency response plan, plant operators identify developing trends and take the appropriate action to prevent or mitigate a radiological release. Plant operators identify the need for emergency support, make initial contact with emergency response organizations, and activate the radiological emergency response plan. As the emergency develops, the administrative, notification, and coordinating functions are transferred from the plant operators to other individuals within the emergency organization as defined in the radiological emergency response plan. Regardless of the functions shifted from the plant operators to the emergency organization, the responsibility for placing the plant in a safe configuration remains with the plant operators.

The ANS-3.8 series of standards provides guidance pertaining to radiological emergency response plan preparation and implementation based upon the experience of the licensed nuclear facilities. The standards in this series are:

ANSI/ANS-3.8.1-1995	Criteria for Radiological Emergency Response Functions and Organizations.
ANSI/ANS-3.8.2-1995	Criteria for Functional and Physical Characteristics of Radiological Emergency Response Facilities.
ANSI/ANS-3.8.3-1995	Criteria for Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Implementing Procedures.
ANSI/ANS-3.8.4-1995	Criteria for Maintaining Radiological Emergency Response Capability.
ANSI/ANS-3.8.5-1992	Criteria for Emergency Radiological Field Monitoring, Sampling, and Analysis.
ANSI/ANS-3.8.6-1995	Criteria for Conduct of Offsite Radiological Assessment for Emergency Response for Nuclear Power Plants.
ANSI/ANS-3.8.7-1998	Criteria for Planning, Development, Conduct, and Evaluation of Drills and Exercises for Emergency Preparedness.

Working Group ANS-3.8 had the following members at the time this standard was processed and approved:

- C. K. Brown, Chairman, Southern Nuclear Operating Company
- D. M. Barss, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- A. R. Chesley, Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation
- A. G. Grosjean, New York Power Authority
- M. A. Hobe, Consumers Power Company
- E. E. M. Lloyd, EXITECH Corporation
- C. D. Pond, Tennessee Valley Authority
- C. L. Row, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats
- W. A. Weckstein, Public Service Electric & Gas Company
- W. E. Webb, Jr., Tennessee Valley Authority

The following supplied input or review during the development of this standard:

- J. J. Hawxhurst, Northeast Utilities
- A. P. Katarsky, Consumers Power Company
- E. J. Michael, EM Consulting Company
- A. C. Sanders, Carolina Power & Light Company

Subcommittee ANS-8 had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

- L. Davis, Chairman, ComEd
- C. K. Brown, Southern Nuclear Operating Company
- F. Dougherty, Tenera, L.P.
- C. Eldridge, Pacific Gas & Electric Company
- S. Floyd, Nuclear Energy Institute
- C. H. Moseley, Jr., Performance Development Corporation
- C. D. Pond, Tennessee Valley Authority
- S. Quennoz, Portland General Electric Company
- S. Richards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- D. Roth, Individual
- W. J. Rudolph II, Quality Applications, Inc.
- G. Scholand, Consultant
- R. N. Smith, Argonne National Laboratory
- W. T. Ullrich, United Energy Services
- P. Walzer, Public Service Electric & Gas Company
- M. J. Wright, Entergy Operations, Inc.

The Nuclear Power Plant Standards Committee (NUPPSCO) had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard: $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}$

W. H. D'Ardenne, Chairman

M. D. Weber, Secretary

D D III	
P. Ballinger	
F. Boorboor	Nuclear Placement Services, Inc.
J. C. Bradford	Bechtel Corporation
R. H. Bryan, Jr.	Tennessee Valley Authority
T. W. Burnett	
J. D. Cohen	Westinghouse Savannah Pivar Company
W. H. D'Ardenne	D'A E-t
L. E. Davis	DAEnterprises
D U U	ComEd
P. H. Hepner	ABB/Combustion Engineering Nuclear Power
R. A. Hill	GE Nuclear Energy
J. T. Luke	
J. F. Mallay	Liberty Consulting Group
C. H. Moseley	Performance Development Corporation
J. A. Nevshemal	Raytheon Engineers & Constructors/Nuclear
N. Prillaman	
W. Ramsey, Jr	
W. B. Reuland	
R. Sacramo	
J. C. Saldarini	
J. Savy	
R. E. Scott	
D. J. Spellman	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
S. L. Stamm	
J. D. Stevenson	
C. D. Thomas	Yankee Atomic Electric Company
G. P. Wagner	
N. Weber	Individual
G. J. Wrobel	Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation

ontents	Section	age
	1. Scope	1
	2. Definitions	1
	3. Planning	2
	3.1 Exercises	
	3.2 Types of Exercises	
	3.3 Drills	
	4. Development	
	4.1 Exercise Management	
	4.2 Controllers and Evaluators Training Requirements	5
	4.3 Scheduling and Coordination	
	4.4 Resource Identification	5
	4.5 Scenario	
	4.6 Drill or Exercise Manual	7
	5. Drills and Exercises	
	5.1 Briefings	
	5.2 Pre-staging	Commence of the Commence of th
	5.3 Data Presentation	
	5.4 Drill and Exercise Control	9
	6. Evaluation	
	6.1 Development of Evaluation Criteria	
	6.2 Debriefing	
	6.3 Collection of Documents	
	6.4 Critiques	
	6.5 Report	
	6.6 Corrective Actions	. 10
	7. References	. 10
	Table	
	Table 1 Planning Requirements for Drills	4