via particles (or waves), as well as the imposition of static or time-varying fields (electric and magnetic). For example, biased limiter experiments have improved tokamak confinement. Is confinement better for a combination of direct current limiter bias, alternating current field component(s), and removal (or injection) of substantial current? By analogy to example 2 above, can incoherent wave injection (v = frequency, $k_{\parallel} =$ parallel wave number) quell turbulence (V = frequency, $K_{\parallel} =$ parallel wave number) with $v \gg V$ and $k_{\parallel} \gg K_{\parallel}$? If turbulent transport can be controlled with such techniques, smaller and less expensive ITER-class experiments may be possible.

Lee M. Hively

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2003 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-7294

February 8, 1993

REFERENCES

1. D. E. POST et al., "ITER Physics," IAEA/ITER Documentation Series No. 21, Sec. 2.1.6.1, International Atomic Energy Agency (May 1991).

2. E. OTT, C. GREBOGI, and J. A. YORKE, "Controlling Chaos," Phys. Rev. Lett., 64, 1196 (1990).

3. W. L. DITTO, S. N. RAUSEO, and M. L. SPANO, "Experimental Control of Chaos," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65, 3211 (1990).

4. J. DORNING, "Controlling Chaos in the Presence of Noise," *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.*, 64, 295 (1991).

5. T. TEL, "Controlling Transient Chaos," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 24, L1359 (1991).

6. R. E. MIROLLO and S. H. STROGATZ, "Synchronization of Pulse-Coupled Biological Oscillators," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 50, 1645 (1990).

7. M. TSIMPLIS and S. A. THORPE, "Wave Damping by Rain," Nature, 342, 893 (1989).

8. W. L. SADOWSKI, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fusion Energy, Private Communication (1991).

9. F. H. HEPPNER, "Flocking and Chaos," presented at American Association for Advancement of Science Annual Mtg., New Orleans, Louisiana, February 1990.

10. R. WEISS, "A Flight of Fancy Mathematics," Sci. News, 137, 172 (1990).

11. B. HUBERMAN, "The Control of Chaos," *Applied Chaos*, J. H. KIM and J. STRINGER, Eds., John Wiley & Sons, New York (Sep. 1992).

ION DEFOCUSING IN MULTICUSP PLASMA CONFINEMENT SYSTEMS

The proposed Polywell[®] polyhedral multicusp plasma confinement systems are designed to achieve strong ion fo-

cusing to a radius $r_c \ll R$ (Refs. 1 and 2). In this letter, a phenomenon is indicated that may tend to limit the attainable degree of focusing.

The plasma is produced by electron beam injection radially inward through the point cusps. As the electron density increases, electron diamagnetic currents push the magnetic surfaces outward, producing a relatively sharp boundary, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Ions are confined mostly by the electrostatic potential well, with only weak magnetic effects at the edge.

Since electrons can flow comparatively easily along magnetic field lines, the variation of electrostatic potential along magnetic field lines is relatively gradual. The contours of electrostatic potential tend to have shapes similar to those of the magnetic surfaces, as illustrated by the hypothetical contours of Fig. 2.

Consider the trajectories of ions that are initially well focused to within a small radius r_c at the center of the device. As these ions leave the central region, they are reflected



Fig. 1. (a) Startup by electron beam injection and (b) high-beta operation.



Fig. 2. Hypothetical electrostatic potential surfaces (dotted curves) and trajectories of ions initially focused within the core region r_c .

by the electrostatic potential boundary layer. After reflection, many of the ions are no longer focused to the small central region r_c from which they emerged. This defocusing by reflection from the convex boundary tends to increase the focus

region radius r_c . Similar effects are expected in the threedimensional case.

This defocusing effect should be taken into account when estimating the feasible regimes of operation. Although it may not preclude successful operation of polyhedral multicusp devices, it may limit the attainable convergence to $r_c/R \ge 0.2$.

T. J. Dolan

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory EG&G Idaho, Inc. P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415-3413

January 5, 1993

REFERENCES

1. R. W. BUSSARD, "Some Physics Considerations of Magnetic Inertial-Electrostatic Confinement: A New Concept for Spherical Converging-Flow Fusion," *Fusion Technol.*, **19**, 273 (1991).

2. N. A. KRALL, "The Polywell[®]: A Spherically Convergent Ion Focus Concept," *Fusion Technol.*, **22**, 42 (1992).