Consider a system of orthogonal coordinates denoted $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ or, simply, $(q)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \boldsymbol{r}(q)=h_{i}(q) \hat{e}_{i}(q) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \hat{e}_{i}=\frac{1}{h_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \Gamma_{k}^{i j}(q) \hat{e}_{k}(q) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\underline{k}}^{i j}=\frac{1}{2 h_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} g_{j k}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k}} g_{j i i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g_{i j}=\delta_{i j} h_{j}^{2}$. The $\hat{e}_{i}$ forms the local base system; the $h_{i}$ gives the differential of length $\overline{d s}^{2}=\sum_{j} h_{j}^{2} \overline{d q}_{j}^{2}$. The threeindex symbols $\Gamma_{k}^{i j}$ are defined in a manner slightly different from the Christoffel symbols of tensor analysis. ${ }^{2}$ Their expression in terms of $h_{j}^{2}$ is easily derived. [For example, compare $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{r}{\partial q_{j}}$ with $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{r}{\partial q_{i}}$. Then, note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}\left(\hat{e}_{i} \cdot \hat{e}_{k}\right)=$ 0.

Once Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) are accepted, the streaming term can be evaluated effortlessly. We wish to express $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial r} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$ (where the bar reminds that $\boldsymbol{v}$ is to be held constant) in terms of derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}$, all $v_{j} \equiv\left(v \cdot \hat{e}_{j}\right)$ held constant, and derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}$, all $q_{j}$ held constant. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}) & =\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} f_{1}\left[q, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{e}_{1}(q), \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{e}_{2}(q), \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{e}_{3}(q)\right]  \tag{4}\\
& =\frac{v_{i}}{h_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}}+\Gamma_{k}^{j i} \frac{v_{k}}{h_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j}}\right) f_{1}(q, v)  \tag{5}\\
& =\frac{v_{i}}{h_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} f_{1}+\frac{v_{k}}{h_{k}}\left(v_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} h_{k}-v_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k}} h_{i}\right) \frac{1}{h_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}} f_{1} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]and that is the end of the calculation. [We use the summation convention in Eqs. (5) and (6).]

As an example, we evaluate Eq. (6) for the torus discussed by Pomraning and Stevens. ${ }^{1}$ The coordinates are similar to those of the right circular cylinder. One has a pair of plane polar coordinates $q_{2}=\rho, q_{3}=\theta, h_{2}=1$, and $h_{3}=\rho$ and a coordinate $q_{1}=\theta_{1}$ (rather than $q_{1}=z$ ), which locates the circular section. Corresponding to $q_{1}$ is $h_{1}=$ $R+\rho \sin \theta \equiv \rho_{1}$, where $R$ is the radius of the axis of the torus. Then, Eq. (6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} f & =\frac{v_{1}}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}} f_{1}+v_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} f_{1}+\frac{v_{3}}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{1} \\
& -\frac{v_{1}}{\rho_{1}}\left(v_{2} \sin \theta+v_{3} \cos \theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}} f_{1}+\left(\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{1}} \sin \theta+\frac{v_{3}^{2}}{\rho}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}} f_{1} \\
& +\left(\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{1}} \cos \theta-\frac{v_{2} v_{3}}{\rho}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{3}} f_{1} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The transition to the right circular cylinder is achieved by setting $\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ in the first group of terms and neglecting all terms containing $\rho_{1}$ in the second group.

An interesting special case occurs when the speed of the particle is fixed. Then, one of the three components of velocity can be eliminated. For example, introduce the variables $(v, \eta, \xi)$ through $v_{1}=v \cos \eta, v_{2}=v \sin \eta \cos \xi$, and $v_{3}=v \sin \eta \sin \xi$. Then, the second group of terms in Eq. (7) becomes ( $v=1$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\cos \eta}{\rho_{1}} \sin (\theta+\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} f_{2}(q, \eta, \xi) \\
& \quad+\left[\frac{\cot \eta}{\rho_{1}} \cos \eta \cos (\theta+\xi)-\frac{\sin \eta}{\rho} \sin \xi\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f_{2}(q, \eta, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

These should be compared with Eq. (30) of Ref. 1, after a typographical error has been corrected.
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## Corrigendum

M. MARTINI, G. PALMIOTTI, and M. SALVATORES, 'A Benchmark Experiment of Neutron Propagation in Iron Used to Test ENDF/B Cross-Section Data," Nucl. Sci. Eng., 56, 427 (1975).

The second sentence of the Conclusions should read as follows:
The results so far obtained show good agreement between calculation and experiment when the ENDF/B-I data or the more recent data based on an ORNL evaluation (MAT 4180 Mod. 1) are used with proper accounting of the manganeseimpurity background effect.


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ See, for example, E. MADELUNG, Die Mathematischen Hilfsmittel des Physikers, Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1943).

