

Segmenting the Rancho Seco Reactor Head—A Cost-Effective Option. By Michael Snyder. Nov./Dec. 2004: 22-27.

Selecting and Applying an Automated Welding System. By Mario Lento. Nov./Dec. 2002: 18-20.

The Shoreham to Limerick Fuel Transfer Project. By Rich Wolters, Kevin Theriault, and Bob Jones. Oct. 1994: 19-26.

Silica Removal with Membrane Technology. By Clint Miller, Subrene Morris, Mike Frala, and Charles Jensen. Jan./Feb. 2006: 26-30.

Softening Things Up at Big Rock Point: Controlled Blasts Assist Demolition. Mar./Apr. 2006: 46-49.

Spent Fuel Management at the Northern States Power Company. By John Closs and Lon Kress. May 1966: 25-29.

Striking the Right CORD: Decontamination for Decommissioning at Connecticut Yankee. By Scott Watson, Richard N. McGrath, Horst-Otto Bertholdt, Edmund Friedrich, William J. Szymczak, and Ed Ruzauskas. Mar./Apr. 1999: 46-51.

Stud Cleaning Made Easier: Reducing Time, Effort, and Radwaste at the South Texas Project. By Edward Conaway. July/Aug. 2000: 24-25.

Taking Down the Maine Yankee Containment Building. By Eric Howes. Jan./Feb. 2005: 40-43.

A Team Effort: Reducing the Volume of Low-Level Radioactive Waste. By Kerry Zimmermann. Sept. 1996: 39-41.

Tell Them What They Want to Know: Designing a Community Outreach Program. By Darrell M. Lankford. Jan./Feb. 1999: 50-53.

Ten Spectacular Seconds: Successful Cooling Tower Implosion at Trojan Reflects Careful Planning. July/Aug. 2006: 36-38.

Tests, Tests, and More Tests at Rig Rock Point: Soil and Water Testing Helps Ensure Public Safety. By Tim Petrosky. Sept./Oct. 2004: 20-23.

To DOC or Not To DOC: Managing Power Plant Decommissioning. By Nancy J. Zacha. July/Aug. 1999: 60-61.

To Decommission or Not To Decommission? A Guide for Utilities. By Leo Lessard. Sept./Oct. 1999: 32-36.

To Toss or Not to Toss—That Is the Question. By J. Mark Price. Jan./Feb. 2006: 12-19.

Up for Decades, Down in Seconds: Completing the Demolition of a Sphere Enclosure Building. Mar./Apr. 2009: 28-29.

Used Fuel Management at Hope Creek. Oct./Dec. 2014: 24-27.

A Video Look in the Pool: Spent-Fuel Characterization for Dresden-1 Decommissioning. By Coleman McDonough, Linwood Ray, John J. Villanueva, and Ed Ruzauskas. July/Aug. 1999: 20-23.

Vogtle's New Radwaste Processing Facility. By Paul Jackson. Sept./Oct. 2002: 34-37.

What If We Lose Barnwell? By Nancy J. Zacha. July/Aug. 1999: 62-63.

Whatever Happened to TMI-2, and Other Nuclear Waste Issues. By Nancy J. Zacha. Mar./Apr. 2007: 68-72.

Where Are You Going—And How Are You Going to Get There? Creating a Virtual Organization for Nuclear Power Plant D&D. By Carroll Eichhorn. Jan./Feb. 2000: 52-54.

Where the Utilities Go. Nov./Dec. 2000: 4.

Zion: A Progress Report. Fall 2016: 24-27.

Vitrification

Dismantling the Vitrification Facility at the West Valley Demonstration Project. By Michael J. Cain, Cynthia Dayton, and Ahmad M. Al-Daouk. Mar./Apr. 2005: 36-42.

Disposition of the West Valley Demonstration Project Vitrification Melter. By Jim McNeil, David Kurasch, Daniel Sullivan, and Thomas Crandall. July/Aug. 2012: 20-29.

DWPF: Old Work Horse, New Tricks. By John N. Lindsay. May/June 2011: 23-29.

Foundation Pouring Begins for Hanford Vit Plant. Sept./Oct. 2002: 49.

Multiple Aspects of Cold Crucible Melting. By Antoine Jouan, Jean-Pierre Moncouyoux, Serge Merlin, and Patrice Roux. Mar. 1996: 77-81.

A New Era of Waste Vitrification at SRS. By Dean Campbell. July/Aug. 2005: 30-34.

Putting Bulk Vitrification to the Test: Stage Set for Full-Scale Testing at Hanford's Tank Farms. By Mike Berriochoa. Mar./Apr. 2005: 58-61.

Sellafield's Vitrification System. By Brett Campbell. Fall 2016: 28-31.

Starting from the Bottom: Lessons in Sampling Sludge from a Working Vitrification Melter. By C. S. Feuz, R. A. Palmet, and W. F. Hamel. Jan./Feb. 2002: 37-45.

Vitrification at the West Valley Demonstration Project. By William F. Hamel Jr., Michael J. Sheridan, and Paul J. Valenti. Mar. 1998: 27-40.

Vitrifying the Hanford Tank Wastes: New Team, New Vision, New Energy. By Sue Kuntz. May/June 2001: 26-30.

The World's Largest Construction Project: Designing and Constructing Hanford's Waste Treatment Plant. By Garth M. Duncan. Sept./Oct. 2005: 14-22.



ANS FCWMD

Volunteers Welcome!

Fuel Cycle and Waste Management Division

This Professional Division of the American Nuclear Society is one of the largest and most active divisions within the society. We deal with all aspects of the nuclear fuel cycle—mining, enrichment, fuel fabrication, fuel design, reprocessing, storage, geologic repositories, waste processing, waste form testing, advanced fuel cycle evaluations, fissile material management, and national fuel cycle policies.

We welcome enthusiastic volunteers for all of our activities. If you would like to help organize a session, plan a meeting, edit a newsletter, or update content on the web site, please contact one of the officers. Experience is not required.

For more information visit fcwmd.ans.org

